## **Extraordinary Cabinet**



Title of Report:	Findings of the Extraordinary Informal Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee: 4 October 2016 – What Will Devolution Mean for West Suffolk?			
Report No:	CAB/SE/16/056			
Report to and date:	Extraordinary Cabinet	21 November 2016		
Portfolio Holder:	John Griffiths Leader of the Council  Tel: 07958 700434  Email: john.griffiths@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Chairman of the Committee:	Diane Hind Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01284 706542 Email: diane.hind@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead Officer:	Ian Gallin Chief Executive Tel: 01284 757001 Email: ian.gallin@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	On 4 October 2016, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held an Extraordinary Informal Joint meeting with Members of Forest Heath's Overview and Scrutiny Committee, and considered the following item jointly:  What Will Devolution Mean for West Suffolk?  The report provided the Committee with further			
	information to enable it to come to a view on five areas identified during a workshop session held on 8 September 2016.			
Recommendation:	the Overview and Science express its concern r	to Cabinet that it <u>NOTES</u> that rutiny Committee wishes to egarding the veto vote of the or, as outlined in paragraph 3/SE/16/056.		

Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?				
(Check the appropriate		Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$				
box and delete all those	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - $\boxtimes$				
that <b>do not</b> apply.)						
Consultation:		• See	• See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026			
Alternative option(s):		See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026				
Implications:						
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026			
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			<ul> <li>See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026</li> </ul>			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes □ No □			
yes, please give details			<ul> <li>See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026</li> </ul>			
Are there any legal and/or policy		licy	Yes □ No □			
implications? If yes, please give details		•	See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026			
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			<ul> <li>See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026</li> </ul>			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent le risk (before controls)	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)		
See Report No: OAS/SE/16/026						
Wards affected:		All Wards				
Background papers:		East Anglia Devolution Website at				
(all background papers are to be		www.eastangliadevo.co.uk				
published on the website and a lini included)						
Documents attached:		None				

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

- 1.1.1 In examining the issue of Devolution, and how Overview and Scrutiny can influence or have an input, a workshop was initiated by Councillor Diane Hind, Chairman of St Edmundsbury's Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Councillor Simon Cole, Chairman of Forest Heath's Overview and Scrutiny Committee, to help inform, focus and drive the agenda for the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 4 October 2016.
- 1.1.2 The Committee received Report No: OAS/SE/16/026, which provided further information on five key areas identified during the workshop session held on 8 September 2016, which scrutiny felt it would benefit from. These were:
  - Running costs of the Combined Authority;
  - Statutory bodies and their duties/powers in relation to the role of the Combined Authority and the arrangements proposed for voting;
  - The Combined Authority Board and Co-opted persons;
  - Governance and Exit Arrangements; and
  - Double Devolution and Subsidiarity.
- 1.1.3 The Committee was asked to discuss the five key issues as set in Section 3 of Report No: OAS/SE/16/026 and to formulate findings, as appropriate.

## 1.2 **Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

- 1.2.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee scrutinised the report in detail and asked a number of questions to which comprehensive responses were provided by the Leader's and the Chief Executive.
- 1.2.2 In particular, discussions were held on the role of the Mayor on the Combined Authority Board. Members expressed concern regarding the Mayor and their ability to vote against a majority decision or proposal by right of veto.
- 1.2.3 Discussions were also held on the "gateway reviews" proposed by Government, which would be carried out every five years between the Combined Authority and the Government in relation to the £25 million funding per year; the voting rights of constituent councils within a Combined Authority; staffing of a Shadow Combined Authority; and potential future options if any of the constituent councils voted against a Norfolk and Suffolk Combined Authority.
- 1.2.4 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee has put forward a recommendation as set out on page one of this report.